RECEIVED

1	COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY JUN 2 4 2013
2	COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF KENTUCKY PUBLIC SERVICE PUBLIC SERVICE
4	COMMISSION
5	In the Matter of:
6 7 8	Application of Big Rivers Electric) Corporation for a General) Case No. 2012-00535
9 10	Adjustment in Rates)
11 12	PETITION OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR CONFIDENTIAL
13	PROTECTION
14 15	1. Big Rivers Electric Corporation ("Big Rivers") hereby petitions the Kentucky
16	Public Service Commission ("Commission"), pursuant to 807 KAR 5:001 Section 13 and KRS
17	61.878, to grant confidential protection to certain information Big Rivers is filing with its revised
18	responses to Items 2, 3, 4, 5, and 8 of Ben Taylor and Sierra Club's Supplemental Requests for
19	Information (the "Sierra Club Supplemental Requests"). The information Big Rivers seeks to
20	protect as confidential is hereinafter referred to as the "Confidential Information."
21	2. The Confidential Information consists of electronic spreadsheet attachments
22	containing projected information in the financial and production cost model files contained on
23	the CONFIDENTIAL CD being filed with this petition.
24	3. One (1) copy of CD, which is marked "CONFIDENTIAL," is being filed with
25	this petition. Because the Confidential Information is inextricably intertwined with non-
26	confidential information in the electronic spreadsheet attachments in response to the Sierra Club
27	Supplemental Requests, Big Rivers cannot redact only the Confidential Information from the
28	electronic spreadsheet attachments without making other cells in the spreadsheets unusable, and
29	so, the entirety of the attachments must be made confidential. As such, the entirety of the
30	confidential CD has been redacted from the original and each of the ten (10) copies of the

- 1 responses to the information requests filed with this petition. 807 KAR 5:001 Sections
- 2 13(2)(a)(3), 13(2)(b). A motion for deviation from the requirement that Big Rivers file paper
- 3 copies of the attachments accompanies this petition.
- 4. A copy of this petition with the Confidential Information redacted has been served
- on all parties to this proceeding. 807 KAR 5:001 Section 13(2)(c). A copy of the Confidential
- 6 Information has been served on all parties that have signed a confidentiality agreement.
- 7 5. The Confidential Information is not publicly available, is not disseminated within
- 8 Big Rivers except to those employees and professionals with a legitimate business need to know
- 9 and act upon the information, and is not disseminated to others without a legitimate need to
- 10 know and act upon the information.
- 11 6. If and to the extent the Confidential Information becomes generally available to
- the public, whether through filings required by other agencies or otherwise, Big Rivers will
- notify the Commission and have its confidential status removed. 807 KAR 5:001 Section
- 14 13(10)(a).
- 15 7. As discussed below, the Confidential Information is entitled to confidential
- protection based upon KRS 61.878(1)(c)(1), which protects "records confidentially disclosed to
- an agency or required by an agency to be disclosed to it, generally recognized as confidential or
- proprietary, which if openly disclosed would permit an unfair commercial advantage to
- competitors of the entity that disclosed the records." KRS 61.878(1)(c)(1); 807 KAR 5:001
- 20 Section 13(2)(a)(1).

21

23

I. Big Rivers Faces Actual Competition

8. Big Rivers competes in the wholesale power market to sell energy excess to its

members' needs. Big Rivers' ability to successfully compete in the wholesale power market is

1	dependent upon a	combination c	of ita	ability to	got the	mavimum	price f	or the	nower cold	and
1	dependent apon a	. Combination (21 112	aumity to	צכו וווכ	IllaxIlliulli	DITCE I	or me	DOMEL SOIG.	anu

- 2 keeping the cost of producing that power as low as possible. Fundamentally, if Big Rivers' cost
- 3 of producing a kilowatt hour increases, its ability to sell that kilowatt hour in competition with
- 4 other utilities is adversely affected.
- 5 9. Big Rivers also competes for reasonably priced credit in the credit markets, and
- 6 its ability to compete is directly impacted by its financial results. Any event that adversely
- 7 affects Big Rivers' margins will adversely affect its financial results and potentially impact the
- 8 price it pays for credit. As was described in the proceeding before this Commission in the Big
- 9 Rivers unwind transaction case, Big Rivers expects to be in the credit markets on a regular basis
- 10 in the future.¹

II. The Confidential Information is Generally Recognized as Confidential or Proprietary

12 13 14

15

16

11

- 10. The Confidential Information for which Big Rivers seeks confidential treatment under KRS 61.878(1)(c)(1) is generally recognized as confidential or proprietary under Kentucky law.
- 17 11. The Confidential Information consists of projected information from Big Rivers'
 18 financial model and production cost model runs. The Confidential Information reveals Big
 19 Rivers' projected fuel and other operating and maintenance ("O&M") expenses, and projected
 20 off-system sales revenues, volumes, and prices.
- 21 12. Public disclosure of the Confidential Information will give Big Rivers' suppliers, 22 buyers, and competitors insight into Big Rivers' cost of producing power, and Big Rivers' view

¹ See Order dated March 6, 2009, in *In the Matter of: Joint Application of Big Rivers, E.ON, LG&E Energy Marketing, Inc., and Western Kentucky Energy Corporation for Approval to Unwind Lease and Power Purchase Transactions*, PSC Case No. 2007-00455, pages 27-30 and 37-39.

1	of future commodity prices and market power prices, which would indicate the prices at which					
2	Big Rivers is willing to buy or sell such items.					
3	13. Information about a company's detailed inner workings is generally recognized as					
4	confidential or proprietary. See, e.g., Hoy v. Kentucky Indus. Revitalization Authority, 907					
5	S.W.2d 766, 768 (Ky. 1995) ("It does not take a degree in finance to recognize that such					
6	information concerning the inner workings of a corporation is 'generally recognized as					
7	confidential or proprietary"). Moreover, the Commission has previously granted confidential					
8	treatment to similar information. See, e.g., letters from the Commission dated July 28, 2011, and					
9	December 20, 2011, in In the Matter of: Application of Big Rivers Electric Corporation for a					
10	General Adjustment in Rates, PSC Case No. 2011-00036 (granting confidential treatment to					
11	multi-year forecast); letter from the Commission dated December 21, 2010, in In the Matter of:					
12	The 2010 Integrated Resource Plan of Big Rivers Electric Corporation, PSC Case No. 2010-					
13	00443 (granting confidential treatment to fuel cost projections, revenue projections, market price					
14	projections, financial model outputs, etc.); two letters from the Commission dated December 11,					
15	2012, in In the Matter of: Application of Big Rivers Electric Corporation for Approval of its					
16	2012 Environmental Compliance Plan, for Approval of its Amended Environmental Cost					
17	Recovery Surcharge Tariff, for Certificates of Public Convenience and Necessity, and for					
18	Authority to Establish a Regulatory Account, PSC Case No. 2012-00063 (granting confidential					
19	treatment to Big Rivers' O&M expenses, and off-system sales and revenues).					
20 21 22	III. Disclosure of the Confidential Information Would Permit an Unfair Commercial Advantage to Big Rivers' Competitors					
23	14. Disclosure of the Confidential Information would permit an unfair commercial					

advantage to Big Rivers' competitors. As discussed above, Big Rivers faces actual competition

24

- in the wholesale power market and in the credit market. It is likely that Big Rivers would suffer competitive injury if that Confidential Information was publicly disclosed.
- 3 15. Public Disclosure of Big Rivers' projections of fuel and other commodity costs 4 and market power prices would give potential suppliers and buyers of such items insight into the 5 prices at which Big Rivers is willing to buy and sell those items. Those suppliers and buyers 6 could then manipulate the bidding process, leading to higher prices or lower revenues for Big 7 Rivers and impair Big Rivers' ability to compete in the wholesale power and credit markets. In 8 PSC Case No. 2003-00054, the Commission granted confidential protection to bids submitted to 9 Union Light, Heat & Power ("ULH&P"). ULH&P argued, and the Commission implicitly 10 accepted, that if the bids it received were publicly disclosed, contractors on future work could 11 use the bids as a benchmark, which would likely lead to the submission of higher bids. Order 12 dated August 4, 2003, in In the Matter of: Application of the Union Light, Heat and Power 13 Company for Confidential Treatment, PSC Case No. 2003-00054. The Commission also 14 implicitly accepted ULH&P's further argument that the higher bids would lessen ULH&P's 15 ability to compete with other gas suppliers. *Id.* Similarly, potential suppliers and buyers 16 manipulating Big Rivers' bidding process would lead to higher costs or lower revenues to Big 17 Rivers and would place it at an unfair competitive disadvantage in the wholesale power market 18 and credit markets.
 - 16. Additionally, public disclosure of the fuel prices, other variable cost information, and production cost modeling would give the power producers and marketers with which Big Rivers competes in the wholesale power market insight into Big Rivers' cost of producing power, and availability of power to sell or need for power during the periods covered by the information. Knowledge of this information would give those power producers and marketers an

19

20

21

22

23

1	unfair competitive advantage because they could use that information to potentially underbid Big
2	Rivers in wholesale transactions. It would also give potential suppliers to Big Rivers a
3	competitive advantage because they will be able to manipulate the price of power bid to Big
4	Rivers in order to maximize their revenues, thereby driving up Big Rivers' costs and impairing
5	Big Rivers' ability to compete in the wholesale power and credit markets.
6	IV. Time Period
7	17. Big Rivers requests that the Confidential Information remain confidential for a
8	period of five (5) years from the date of this petition, which should allow sufficient time for the
9	Confidential Information to become sufficiently outdated that it could not be used to determine
10	similar confidential information at that time. 807 KAR 5:001 Section 13(2)(a)(2).
11	V. Conclusion
12	18. Based on the foregoing, the Confidential Information is entitled to confidential
13	protection. If the Commission disagrees that Big Rivers is entitled to confidential protection, due
14	process requires the Commission to hold an evidentiary hearing. Utility Regulatory Com'n v.
15	Kentucky Water Service Co., Inc., 642 S.W.2d 591 (Ky. App. 1982).
16	WHEREFORE, Big Rivers respectfully requests that the Commission classify and protect
17	as confidential the Confidential Information.
8	On this the 21 st day of June, 2013.
.9	Respectfully submitted,
21 22 23 24 25 26	Fig.
23	James M. Miller
24	Tyson Kamuf
2.5	SULLIVAN, MOUNTJOY, STAINBACK
26	& MILLER, P.S.C.
	100 St. Ann Street
8.	P. O. Box 727

1 2 3 4 5 6	Owensboro, Kentucky 42302-0727 Phone: (270) 926-4000 Facsimile: (270) 683-6694 jmiller@smsmlaw.com tkamuf@smsmlaw.com
7	
8 9	Edward T. Depp
10	Dinsmore & Shohl LLP
11	101 South Fifth Street Suite 2500
12	Louisville, KY 40202
13	Phone: (502) 540-2347
14	Facsimile: (502) 585-2207
15	tip.depp@dinsmore.com
16	1 11 0
17	
18	Counsel for Big Rivers Electric Corporation
19 20	
21	
22	Certificate of Service
23 24 25 26 27	I certify that a true and accurate copy of the foregoing was served by Federal Express or by first class mail, postage prepaid upon the persons listed on the service list that accompanies this petition, on this the 21 st day of June, 2013.
28	The
29	Tyson Kamuf